



---

VILLAGE OF ARDEN  
SPECIAL  
TOWN ASSEMBLY  
MAY 15, 2022

---

Town Meeting Minutes



Village of Arden 4:00 p.m. Buzz Ware Village Center  
2119 The Highway, Arden, Delaware

**Attendance: 50**

Jan	Westerhouse	1	Simon	Hamermesh	26
Lisa	Mullinax	2	Grace E	Ressler	27
Tom	Wheeler	3	Sam	Jordan	28
Tanya	Lazar	4	Michelle	Klein	29
Jennifer	Borders	5	Stevie	French	30
Jeffrey	Politis	6	David D	Claney	31
Carol	Larson	7	Vicki	Scott	32
Steve	Tanzer	8	Patrick	Barry	33
Deborah M	Ricard	9	Beverly	Clendening	34
Steve	Benigni	10	David	Gerbec	35
William	Theis	11	Ron	Meick	36
Larry	Walker	12	Rebecca	Meick	37
Elizabeth	Varley	13	Pam	Politis	38
Barbara Anne	Macklem	14	Walter	Borders	39
Sadi	Somerville	15	William 'Skip'	Bailey	40
Marianne	Cinaglia	16	Cecilia	Vore	41
Denis	O'Regan	17	Sachin	Puranik	42
Betty	O'Regan	18	Elizabeth	Resko	43
Mike	Moran	19	Eric	Reed	44
Bob	Erenburg	20	Danny	Schweers	45
Ellie	Hansen	21	Jill	Althouse-Wood	46
Barbara	Henry	22	B A	Beonett	47
Warren	Rosenkranz	23	Andrew	Larason	48
Lisa	Wolfe	24	Joseph	Dugan	49
Rodney	Jester	25	Heidi	Hoegger	50

**1.0 Call to Order** – Chairman Jeffrey Politis called meeting to order at 4: 05 p.m.

## **2.0 American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) Funding in Arden**

A brief summary:

Introduction

- ARPA (the American Rescue Plan Act) is a federal law passed by Congress and administered by the Treasury Department.
  
- It “provides governments across the country with the resources needed to fight the pandemic, support families and businesses struggling with its public health and economic impacts; maintain vital public services, even amid declines in revenue resulting from the crisis; build a strong, resilient, and equitable recovery by making investments that support long-term growth and opportunity.”
  
- Arden received \$219,925

Legal Niceties

State of Delaware engaged the law firm of  
Barnes & Thornburg, LLP

- We are paying .004% or \$879.70 of our award for their guidance.
- The new Final Rule, issued by the Treasury Department on January 6, 2022, we may elect:
  - “This will mean that we may use our full award for the provision of general government services.”

### ARPA Timeline in Arden

- Summer 2021- First half of ARPA funds received by Town
- September 27, 2021- Town Assembly confirms Community Planning Committee should oversee process for funding projects (purely in an administrative capacity).
- November 2021- Budget Referendum vote allows of spending of all ARPA funds
- November 17, 2021- Open Public Meeting to discuss projects
- January 6, 2022- Final Rule for ARPA issued by Treasury Department
- January 12, 2022- Preliminary ARPA proposals due
- January 19, 2022- Open Public Meeting to discuss projects
- January 24, 2022- Town Assembly Zoom ranks 10 preliminary proposals
- March 2022- Petition and scheduling to create a Special Town Meeting for ARPA
- April 15, 2022- Final proposals due date– Five proposals received
- TODAY--May 15, 2022- Special Town Meeting to discuss and vote on ARPA funding
- FUTURE--December 2024- All ARPA funds must be spent

### ARPA Proposals

- A. Storm Water Management
- B. Playground Equipment -Sherwood Green
- C. BWVC Sewer System
- D. Arden Craft Shop Museum Website
- E. Playground Equipment - Village Green

### 3.0 Voting Procedures Explanation

A Big Question We Asked: How should the Town vote on this?

- In the end, we settled on a points system. It was chosen for its fairness and simplicity. The top points getters get funding first until we run out of money.

- A “Contingency Fund” of about 10% is reserved for project overruns, the law firm’s fee, and potentially another project proposal at a later date.

- Parties involved in these decisions: Community Planning Committee, Registration Committee and Town Assembly Chair

#### ARPA Sample Ballot

Column1	Column2	Column3	Column4
ARPA Proposals -- <i>in order of cost</i>			<b>VOTE</b>
			YOUR VOTE • Write 1, 2, 3, 4 or 5 after each proposal. • Do not leave any blank • Use each number only once
Proposal Name		Estimated Costs	Rank Approval 0 to 4 (4 is highest approval)
A.	Storm Water Management	\$134,140	
B.	Playground Equipment -Sherwood Green	\$14,000	
C.	Playground Equipment -Arden Green	\$50,000	
D.	BWVC Sewer System	\$16,000	
E.	Arden Craft Shop Museum Website	\$15,000	
Total requests		\$230,400	

Where we go from here

- TODAY: tallying of votes, ARPA funding will be awarded.

- The Treasurer will be monitoring the Town’s ARPA compliance with all regulations and reporting.
- Another project may be awarded funding at a later date. All funding must be spent by the end of 2024.

**Thank you for your time and thoughtfulness in this process!**

#### **4.0 Presentation of Proposals**

##### A. Storm Water Management

###### PROPOSAL DESCRIPTION

Project Title: Engineering Design, Project Planning and Implementation for Storm Water Management Plan BMPs E1, E2 and E3.

Project Summary: ARPA funds are requested to supplement DNREC grants for the engineering design and detailed plans and the installation of all recommended BMPs for Watershed E in the Arden Storm Water Management Plan. The recommended BMPs include the installation of pervious paving on the Pump Path between Harvey Road and Wind Lane, the installation of a bioretention garden at the corner of Wind Lane and Mill Road, a larger bioretention garden located within the leaseholds between 2204 and 2212 Mill Road and an extension to the existing concrete gutter on the southeast side of Mill Road.

Full Project Description: Describe your project in detail. Why is this project important to the community? Attach more descriptive information to the end of this proposal as needed.

The Village of Arden Stormwater Master Plan (SMP) developed by ForeSite Associates and funded by a DNREC Storm Water Management Planning Grant was finalized in February 2022. The purpose of this plan is to identify areas within the Village of Arden for implementation of Best Management Practices (BMPs) that reduce the impact of stormwater run-off to the waterways and natural lands that border the village. The Arden Civic and Forest Committees are requesting ARPA funds to supplement DNREC grant funds for the engineering design and installation of BMPs outlined in the SMP. Community Impact: Beyond the obvious water quality improvements important enough to be funded by state grants, water quality management underscores Arden's mandate from the original founders to value green spaces. With these "best management practices" Arden can lead in demonstrating responsible stewardship of the environment and serve as a model for other communities who need to retrofit infrastructure in order to improve water quality for all Delawareans.

The Civic and Forest Committees are requesting ARPA funds to supplement DNREC grant funds for the engineering design and installation of all recommended BMPs for Watershed E in the Storm Water Management Plan. Watershed E begins along the leaseholds at the beginning of the Pump Path at Harvey Road and flows along the path to Wind Lane where the flow splits, some runoff flows down Mill Lane and the rest flows along Wind Lane to Hillside Road and then down Hillside Road. Along Mill Lane, water travels through leaseholder yards before making its way to Naamans Creek. There is evidence of pavement erosion and sediment deposition along the water flow pathway and

particularly at the intersection of Mill Lane and Millers Road. These projects were chosen as a priority for ARPA funding for two reasons. Firstly, we expect to complete all the recommended BMPs on the entire watershed, highlighting the great improvements that result from increased rainwater infiltration and decreased erosion and sediment accumulation when water flow in an entire watershed is managed. Secondly, the recommended BMPs in this watershed will also serve as demonstrations to the community of a range of remediations that the SMP suggests for individual leaseholds. There are three suggested BMPs for this Watershed.

BMP E1 proposes converting the pavement of the Pump Path and the adjoining vehicle access lane between Harvey Road and Wind Lane to pervious paving to allow the capture and managed infiltration of water. Capturing stormwater flow at this high point in the watershed will help prevent downstream transport of sediment and pollutants. Pervious paving options include pervious asphalt, pavers, or concrete. We plan to install two types of pervious pavement to demonstrate two different approaches residents can implement on their leaseholds. We suggest porous asphalt or concrete in the upper and wider part of this path to replace the present asphalt. In the lower part of the path, we suggest permeable interlocking concrete pavers to replace the gravel path.

BMP E2 involves the installation of a small bioretention garden at the corner of Mill Road and Wind Lane, which is currently an area where stormwater flowing from areas along upper Wind Lane and Mill Lane leaseholds combine, before rushing down Mill Road. A bioretention



garden at this location would benefit drainage by slowing the stormwater flows higher up in the watershed and allowing time for pollutants to settle out. This project also necessitates either installing a valley gutter or re-grading the intersections to channel water into the bioretention garden. Implementation of this BMP will increase infiltration of water and reduce sediment and pollutant runoff to Naaman's Creek.

BMP E3 consists of a larger bioretention garden located within the leaseholds between 2204 and 2212 Mill Road (leaseholder permission has been obtained for this project). An extension to the existing concrete gutter on the southeast side of Mill Road will also be needed to funnel stormwater into the bioretention garden and to carry overflow into the drainage system.

There are two distinct phases to the projects we are proposing. The first phase is the detailed engineering design and planning that will be required before we can apply for DNREC funds for the second phase, which is the installation phase. The two phases can be partially funded under different types of DNREC grants. Funding details and ARPA requests for the two phases are explained separately below.

#### Engineering Planning and Design

We plan to submit a proposal for a DNREC Storm Water Management Planning Grant (SWMPG) for BMPs E1, E2 and E3 in July 2022 with funds expected to disburse at the end of 2022. We have been in contact with Jim Sullivan from DNREC who informed us that it is likely that this project will be funded, particularly given the previous completion of

the Stormwater Management Plan. The maximum grant award is \$50,000 but grant funds must be matched 1:1 with municipal funds. ARPA funds can be used as matching funds. We have requested bids for this work from ForeSite Associates, Carroll Engineering Corporation, Brightfields, Inc. and Straughan Environmental Services. ForeSite Associates quoted an estimated cost of \$62,500 for the engineering plans for all three BMPs. We met with a representative from Carroll Engineering Corp on Friday, April 15 and received a bid for \$14,000. The bid from Carroll Engineering, however, does not include all of the planning components that are required for the implementation of a BMP and the proposed plans would not be adequate to support a DNREC Implementation Grant (CWQIG). Brightfields, Inc. and Straughan Environmental Services did not respond to our request.

Because the estimate we received from ForeSite Associates (62,500) is the only estimate that includes all parts of the required planning phase, our proposal uses the ForeSite estimate for the request for ARPA funds. We will request in SWMPG funds. We are requesting ARPA funds to cover the \$31,250 match requirement. Therefore

#### Implementation

We plan to submit two DNREC Community Water Quality Improvement Grant (CWQIG) proposals to defray the installation costs of the three BMPs in Watershed E. The maximum grant award for CWQIG is \$75,000 and there is no match requirement. However, the Village of Arden cannot receive funding independently under this grant

mechanism; we must be sponsored by the New Castle Conservation District which charges a 10% administration fee.

The first CWQIG proposal that we plan to submit has a deadline of April 2023 with funds expected to disburse in July 2023. This proposal will request funding for the installation of BMP E1 and E2. We have bids for the installation of BMPs E1 and E2 from ForeSite Associates and Advanced Ecology, LLC that range from \$80,000 to \$93,800.

Assuming 10% administration fee (NCCD) the estimates for installation of these two BMPs ranges from \$88,000 to \$103,180. The actual bidding process under a CWQIG will be done by NCCD, therefore we cannot give a definitive cost for the projects. For the purposes of this request for ARPA funds we are assuming that the final cost will be somewhere midway between the two estimates we received. This would place the cost of installation of these two BMPs at about \$95,590. Using this average, the **costs not covered by the maximum grant of \$75,000 is \$20,590 for which we are requesting ARPA funds.**

The second planned CWQIG proposal has a projected deadline of October 2023 with funds dispersing in early 2024. This proposal will request funding for the installation of BMP E3. We have bids for the installation of BMP E3 from ForeSite Associates and Advanced Ecology, LLC that range from \$100,000 to \$186,000. Assuming 10% administration fee (NCCD) the estimate for installation of these two BMPs ranges from \$110,000 to \$204,600. Again, because NCCD will handle the bids for this installation, we cannot give a definitive cost for the projects, For the purposes of this request for ARPA funds, we are

assuming that the final cost will be somewhere midway between the two estimates we received. This would place the cost of installation of BMP E3 at around \$157,300. **The cost over available grant funds using this average is \$82,300 for which we are requesting ARPA funds.** We have been in contact with Kevin Donnelly from the NCCD concerning these projects and have been informed that the prospect for DNREC funding is very good.

In summary, the Civic and Forest Committees are requesting the following allocations from the ARPA funds

Planning and Engineering Plan costs for BMPs E1, E2 and E3	\$31,250
Installation Costs for BMPs E1 and E2	\$20,590
Installation Costs for BMP E3	<u>\$82,300</u>
TOTAL	\$134,140

It should be noted that all costs embedded in this proposal are preliminary estimates and that the availability and timing of disbursement for DNREC grant funds is subject to change. If any of the actual costs turn out to be greater than those estimated here, or if DNREC funds are not available, the Civic and Forest Committees could request the needed funds from the Vacant Housing Fund

**PROJECT COSTS**

Planning Phase

Bid #1

Name of Contractor Foresite Associates,  
Inc.

Estimated Cost \$62,500

Pros & Cons of this Bid Pros:

Arden has completed several projects with this contractor and has been satisfied with the quality of all aspects of the work, both palling and execution. ForeSite Associates is highly recommended by both DNREC and NCCD. This is important because we will need to work closely with the two agencies to plan and execute these projects. The company shares Arden's concern for sound environmental management and has a progressive, forward-thinking approach to projects. Because ForeSite Associates prepared the village Storm Water Management Plan, they are familiar with the watersheds, the proposed project site and with logistical process in the village. This company can handle all aspects of the work, including grant writing. This company has written successful grants for the village in the past. They have been diligent not only in the completion of projects but also in educating village residents about the purpose and progress of projects

Bid #2

Name of Contractor Carroll Engineering, Inc

Estimated Cost \$14,000

Note that this bid does not include all of the planning components that are required for the implementation of a BMP and the proposed plans would not be adequate to support a DNREC Implementation Grant (CWQIG).

Pros & Cons of this Bid Pros:

Can handle all Engineering and Planning aspects of these projects.

## VILLAGE OF ARDEN AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN

APPLICATION Cons: We have not worked with this company in the past and they do not project the same concern for larger environmental issues. The bid from this company does not cover all aspects of the required work. For example, it does not include construction drawing costs and costs for permitting and construction plan review

### Installation Phase

Bid #1

Name of Contractor ForeSite Associates, Inc.

Estimated Cost \$279,800

### Pros & Cons of this Bid

Arden has completed several projects with this contractor and has been satisfied with the quality of all aspects of the work, both palling and execution. ForeSite Associates is highly recommended by both DNREC and NCCD. This is important because we will need to work closely with the two agencies to plan and execute these projects. The company shares Arden's concern for sound environmental management and has a progressive, forward-thinking approach to projects. Because ForeSite Associates prepared the village Storm Water Management Plan, they are familiar with the watersheds, the proposed project site and with logistical process in the village. This company can handle all aspects of the work, including grant writing. This company has written successful grants for the village in the past. They have been diligent not only in the completion of projects but also in educating village residents about the purpose and progress of projects. Cons:

Estimate is high compared to other estimates.

Bid #2

Name of Contractor Advanced Ecology LLC

Estimated Cost \$180,000

Pros & Cons of this Bid

Pros: low estimate; Representative was knowledgeable and willing to take on all three projects.

Cons: This company does not do engineering design or grant writing.

We do not know if the company is eligible for a bidding through NCCD.

What, if any, are the recurring maintenance costs for this project?

Cleaning and maintenance of pervious paths and bioretention gardens and possibly the purchase of ground vacuum for pervious pavement.

Are there outside sources of funding expected for this project? If so, please describe below. Initial funding via DNREC SWMPG and CWQIG with possible additional funding through Municipal Street Aid

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Please describe any potential conflict of interest here for the Project Administrators or the Contractors of this project. For more information use this link to Arden's

<https://arden.delaware.gov/wpcontent/ardendocs/ConflictOfInterestPolicy.pdf>

B. Playground Climbers for Village Green and Sherwood Green

## PROPOSAL DESCRIPTION

Project Title: Install Playground Climber & Replace Existing Very Old Climber on Village Green

Project Summary: A climber is needed to replace the jungle gym on the Village Green, and the installed “Project Budget Cost” which includes installation would be less than \$15,000. It should be noted that the jungle gym presently installed is NOT “Safety Compliant” by current Playground Page 2 Safety Standards. This climber would be suitable for children ages 2 to 6 years in age, and it would have much more “play value” than the present climber. This climber would be our higher priority.

### Full Project Description:

A climber would be installed on the Village Green, where it could be used heavily by Arden kids during ACRA in the summer. It should be noted that the jungle gym presently installed on the Village Green is NOT “Safety Compliant” by current Playground Safety Standards, and this would replace it. This climber would be suitable for children ages 3 to 12 years in age. This would be a wonderful, physically challenging addition to Arden’s playgrounds.

In reviewing the ARPA requirements for allowable projects, improving playgrounds on our parks and outdoor places would encourage a healthy, childhood environment, and provide physical challenges and encourage outdoor play after being confined indoors most of the day because of the Pandemic. More challenging playground equipment will attract more families and children to Arden’s outdoor spaces, while providing open areas where families and children can socialize is a safe,



socially distanced environment. The playgrounds can help can build stronger neighborhoods by providing inviting, safe, and challenging equipment for the children, and allow any accompanying parents to safely socialize

**PROJECT COSTS**

**Bid #1**

Name of Contractor Recreation Resource USA 503 N. Walnut Road Kennett Square, PA 1934

Estimated Cost Verve VI Arch

Equipment	\$5,553.00
Shipping	572.00
Installation	6,335.00
Surface (25'x25'x10")	<u>1,338.00</u>
Total	\$13,798.00

**Pros & Cons of this Bid**

This contractor is a very experienced, well-established installer of playground equipment in the Delaware Valley, and their work is excellent. Unfortunately, the costs of equipment installations has risen dramatically in recent years, so the installation costs might seem high.

**Bid #2**

Name of Contractor Buzz Burger Inc 500 S Whitehorse Rd Phoenixville, PA 19460

Verve VI Arch

Equipment	\$5,553.00
Shipping	572.00
Installation	2,600.00
Surface + Delivery (20 y^3 surface)	<u>1,720.00</u>
Total	\$10,445.00

**Pros & Cons of this Bid**

This installer is also experience and well established. However, they do not sell equipment directly, so it must be purchased by the regional distributor which is Recreation Resource USA. This bid does not include any excavation as opposed to light excavation provided by the other installer.

What, if any, are the recurring maintenance costs for this project? No recurring maintenance costs, other than routine normal additions of playground mulch annually, and any minor repairs that might be needed. Are there outside sources of funding expected for this project? If so, please describe below. None

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No known Conflicts of Interest

#### C. BWVC Sewer System

Project Title: BWVC Sewer Replacement Project Summary:

The work to the BWVC will consist of evaluation of sewer system to determine routing of sewer pipe, its existing condition, installation of interior and exterior cleanouts, and possible replacement of soil pipe. The work will be performed in stages to minimize disrupting building schedule.

Full Project Description:

Describe your project in detail. Why is this project important to the community? Attach more descriptive information to the end of this proposal as needed. The wastewater system of this vital community center has experienced frequent blockages, especially during periods of

high use; and regrettably often during public events such as the Arden Fair. There have been repeated attempts to address these issues with frequent rodding and camera inspection processes. These have been labor and cost intensive but have not resulted in a resolution to the issue(s). We feel it is important for the Buzz Ware Village Center to be able to offer a safe and functional space for use by the community and other users. It is a charter of the Buzz to become a self-supporting, revenue generating facility for the village. We, as a committee, have been energetically working towards this goal. To continue to be an attractive venue for community events, event rentals and ongoing yearly rentals, this work needs to be completed so that we can confidently market a safe and fully functional venue. Refer to “PLAN”

Phase 1 - Inspection and Evaluation Task 1 – Use a sewer inspection camera to inspect entire length of sewer to the street main. Measure and record all elbows, tie-ins, obstructions, roots and street outlet. A written record of this inspection shall be submitted to building management. Use a sewer inspection camera to observe possible flow of water from a possible tie-in at “A”. A written record of this inspection shall be submitted to building management.

Phase 2 – Install new sewer Excavate rear right side of property to expose existing cast-iron sewer service at building. Macam will be saw-cut. Adjust course of sewer line and move to the right side of tree line (facing front of property existing sewer line runs directly underneath trees, line will be moved approximately 10 feet further to the right side). Angle excavation area back towards path of existing sewer and reconnect

to New Castle County lateral in same location as current line. Cleanouts will be installed every 100 feet and in any directional change areas as required by code. Property will be backfilled and tamped. Grass seed/finish work by Others.

Phase 3 -- Grass area to be reseeded and macadam surface to be patched.

### PROJECT COSTS

#### Bid #1

Name of Contractor Jordan Plumbing LLC, Wilmington, DE Dave Jordan Master Plumber Lic. PL-0002681

Estimated Cost \$10,700 See attachments Jordan Plumbing 1,2 and Rev1

#### Pros & Cons of this Bid

This contractor is located within a 15-minute drive from the BWVC and has professional relationships that are known to the administrator of this project. Few “exclusions” or potential cost increases were noted.

#### Bid #2

Name of Contractor: The Plumber Inc., Smyrna, DE James Catts Master Plumber Lic. PL-0000945

Estimated Cost \$9,980 See attachment Catts Plumbing Estimate EST0112

Pros & Cons of this Bid

This contractor is located within a 50-minute drive from the BWVC and is less likely to be a useful resource in ongoing maintenance of the plumbing system of the building. Exclusions of work not covered and potential cost increases were noted.

Bid #3 (optional) Name of Contractor This “Bid” represents estimated costs of reseeding the grass areas and macadam repair.

Estimated Cost \$4,000

Pros & Cons of this Bid Project Costs Summary:

Sewer survey, excavation, replacement and refill	\$10,700
Repair of macadam and reseeding	4,000
Contingency for excavation	<u>1,300</u>
Total Budget	\$16,000.

D. Arden Craft Shop Museum Website

For The Museum & Archives Committee of the Ardens: Lisa Mullinax, Chair Barbara Macklem, Arden Representative Vicki Scott, Volunteer

What We Do and How We Do It • The Mission of the Museum and Archives Committee of the Ardens is to collect and exhibit materials & artifacts related to The Ardens. The Collection is stored and exhibited at the Arden Craft Shop Museum. • Our audience: Past and present residents of the Ardens, researchers and historians, educators and students, visitors from around the world. •

Organization: • Arden Craft Shop Museum Inc., a 501(c)(3)

corporation comprising all voting-eligible Ardens residents, is responsible for the building. • The Museum & Archives Committee, consisting of elected members of all three Villages, is responsible for maintaining and exhibiting the collection. • Funding: Volunteers manage operations—cataloging and preserving the collection, mounting exhibits, programming—within a small budget. Revenue sources are donations from the Villages plus additional revenue from donations, sales and grants. Our annual giving campaign pays for our part-time curator.

The Impact of 2020 COVID Restrictions • For 5 months in 2020, the Museum was closed to in-person visits. • Community outreach activities—such as a program for ACRA, the annual Shakespeare exhibit, the Holiday Shop and the Arden Fair day opening—were cancelled. • We reopened for limited visitors with state-mandated protocols (masking, extensive sanitizing, advance reservations, etc.)

Not being open hurt us...

	2019	2020	Difference
In-person visits	582	160	-72%
In-person donations	\$472	\$88	-81%
In-person sales	\$1,568	\$875	-44%
In-person volunteer hours	950	550	-42

... but interest and support remained high:

- Donations through our fall giving mailing remained steady from 2019 to 2020, even though we did not have an in-person exhibit

opening.

- There was good engagement on our social media posts and our online photo collection.
- We continued to field inquiries from researchers and tour requests, even though they could not visit us in person.

Much of this interest drove people to our website, [ardencraftshopmuseum.com](http://ardencraftshopmuseum.com). Its limitations were exposed.

### 5.0 Voting

### 6.0 Awarding of Funds

Column1	Column2	Column3	Column4
ARPA Proposals -- <i>in order of cost</i>			<b>VOTE</b>
Proposal Name		Estimated Costs	Rank Approval 0 to 4 (4 is highest approval)
A.	Storm Water Management	\$134,140	185
B.	Playground Equipment -Sherwood Green	\$14,000	132
C.	Playground Equipment -Arden Green	\$50,000	94
D.	BWVC Sewer System	\$16,000	194
E.	Arden Craft Shop Museum Website	\$15,000	152
Total requests		\$214,400	

## **7.0 Motion to Adjourn**

Respectfully submitted,  
Elizabeth Resko,  
Town of Arden Secretary

All are welcome hither

Please note: Those attending Town Meetings are eligible to vote who are 18  
years of age, or older.

Attachments Follow next page



*Results*

**BALLOT**  
ARPA Grant Proposals



Please rank the proposals from 1 - 5, with 1 being your *least* favorite, and 5 your *most* favorite, like star ratings:

LOWEST 1\* 2\*\* 3\*\*\* 4\*\*\*\* 5\*\*\*\*\* HIGHEST  
*total ballots received 51*

	PROPOSAL in order of estimated project costs	YOUR VOTE • Write 1, 2, 3, 4 or 5 after each proposal. • Do not leave any blank • Use each number only once.
A	Storm Water Management \$135,400	185 (2)
B	Playground Equipment - Sherwood Green \$50,000	94 (5)
C	BWVC Sewer System \$16,000	194 (1)
D	Arden Craft Shop Museum Website \$15,000	152 (3)
E	Playground Equipment - Arden Green \$14,000	132 (4)

Total requests: \$230,400  
ARPA grant from Federal Government: \$219,925  
Total ARPA Funding being awarded today: \$200,000  
Contingency Funds reserve: \$19,925

*Cecilia Vore,  
Chair, Registration*

